

**To:** Zenick, Elliott[Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Beeler, Cindy[Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov]; Moore, Bruce[Moore.Bruce@epa.gov]; Smith, Claudia[Smith.Claudia@epa.gov]; Rothery, Deirdre[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov]; Koerber, Mike[Koerber.Mike@epa.gov]; Morales, Monica[Morales.Monica@epa.gov]  
**From:** Card, Joan  
**Sent:** Thur 4/14/2016 8:53:12 PM  
**Subject:** RE: oil gas NSPS snapshot as of today

Hi everyone. I understand that Claudia, Elliott and others are making a major effort to quickly incorporate and address comments on this FIP proposal. I wanted to thank you for that and your efforts on this Regional priority going forward. The work is very much appreciated!

Joan

**From:** Card, Joan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 9:02 AM  
**To:** Zenick, Elliott <Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Beeler, Cindy <Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov>; Moore, Bruce <Moore.Bruce@epa.gov>; Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>; Rothery, Deirdre <Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov>; Koerber, Mike <Koerber.Mike@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: oil gas NSPS snapshot as of today

Folks. I thought we were on a more clear glide path to getting this to OMB and have OAR concurrence for the approach--consistent regulation with the Utah air program and a basin-specific approach that is protective for ozone. I'm asking that the Regional priority continue to move forward. How can we get on the same page? Thanks.

Joan Card

Senior Policy Advisor

Region 8

Sent from my EPA iPhone

On Apr 13, 2016, at 7:47 AM, Zenick, Elliott <[Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov](mailto:Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov)> wrote:

Some of them (especially leaks) but the issue is also imbedded in a number of the charts and other discussions.

**From:** Beeler, Cindy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 8:09 PM  
**To:** Moore, Bruce <[Moore.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Bruce@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Card, Joan <[Card.Joan@epa.gov](mailto:Card.Joan@epa.gov)>; Smith, Claudia <[Smith.Claudia@epa.gov](mailto:Smith.Claudia@epa.gov)>;  
Rothery, Deirdre <[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov](mailto:Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov)>; Koerber, Mike <[Koerber.Mike@epa.gov](mailto:Koerber.Mike@epa.gov)>;  
Zenick, Elliott <[Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov](mailto:Zenick.Elliott@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: oil gas NSPS snapshot as of today

Thanks, Bruce. Just so I'm clear, Elliott's comments that particularly relate to consistency with OOOOa, will be easy to spot within the FIP document he edited?

Cindy Beeler

EPA Region 8 Energy Advisor

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 12, 2016, at 3:43 PM, Moore, Bruce <[Moore.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Bruce@epa.gov)> wrote:

Joan,

Per our discussion this afternoon regarding Elliott's comments on the U&O FIP, here is the very latest version of the NSPS that reflects any minor changes made since the rule went to OMB. As you mentioned, this will allow the FIP team to respond to Elliott's comment that the FIP should accurately reflect (where intended) the NSPS final rule rather than what was in the September proposal, given the significant changes since proposal.

Of course this is not to be distributed.

Hope this is helpful,

Bruce

**Bruce Moore**

***Senior Technical Advisor - Oil & Natural Gas Sector***

Office of Air and Radiation

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

(919) 541-5460

[moore.bruce@epa.gov](mailto:moore.bruce@epa.gov)

***For information, visit:*** [www3.epa.gov/airquality/oilandgas](http://www3.epa.gov/airquality/oilandgas)

**From:** Hambrick, Amy

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 5:30 PM

**To:** Moore, Bruce <[Moore.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Bruce@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** oil gas snapshot

Amy Hambrick

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(919)541-0964

<OilGas\_snapshot\_4.12.2016\_DO NOT RELEASE.docx>